Ed Process Int J

www.edupij.com

ISSN 2147-0901 (Print), 2564-8020 (Online)

EDUCATIONAL PROCESS
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

Research Article

Cite this article: Tiep, P. Q., & Huong, N. T. (2025). Building A Flipped Classroom Model Combined with Augmented Reality to Improve the Effectiveness of Teaching Natural and Social Sciences in Primary Schools. *Educational Process: International Journal, 18,* e2025445. https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445

Received June 20, 2025
Accepted August 22, 2025

Keywords: Flipped classroom; augmented reality; AR; Natural and Social Sciences; primary education

Author for correspondence:
Pham Quang Tiep
tiep@vnu.edu.vn
University of Education, Vietnam National University Hanoi

Building A Flipped Classroom Model Combined with Augmented Reality to Improve the Effectiveness of Teaching Natural and Social Sciences in Primary Schools

Pham Quang Tiep, Nguyen Thi Huong

Abstract

Background/purpose. In the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, traditional teaching methods are insufficient for cultivating 21st-century competencies. In Vietnamese primary education, teaching Natural and Social Sciences is particularly challenging due to abstract concepts beyond the cognitive development of students aged 9–11. This study aims to develop and evaluate a flipped classroom model integrated with Augmented Reality (AR) to enhance learning effectiveness, motivation, and conceptual understanding in this subject area.

Materials/Methods. This research employed a mixed-methods experimental design with a randomized controlled trial approach. Grounded in social constructivist theory, cognitive multimedia learning, and the TPACK framework, the study designed a three-component model: an interactive digital content system, a multilayered AR-integrated flipped teaching process, and an adaptive assessment system. A 16-week randomized controlled trial was conducted in 9 primary schools across Vietnam with 453 students in grades 4–5. Quantitative data were collected through standardized assessment tools, engagement scales, and performance measures, while qualitative data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with students, teachers, and parents.

Results. The experimental group demonstrated a 23.6% improvement in academic performance (Cohen's d = 1.37), a 41.5% increase in learning interest, and a 35.2% enhancement in abstract concept comprehension compared to traditional methods. Gains were most notable in higher-order thinking skills and spatial-temporal topics (e.g., Earth-Moon movement).

Conclusion. The AR-enhanced flipped classroom model significantly improved learning outcomes and engagement in primary science education. The study provides a scalable implementation framework and confirms the necessity of teacher training and infrastructure support to ensure sustainable adoption in diverse educational contexts.

1. Introduction

The Fourth Industrial Revolution is generating fundamental transformations in global educational systems, necessitating the restructuring of traditional pedagogical methodologies to develop lifelong learning competencies and 21st-century skills (Schwab, 2016). Within this context, the integration of advanced technologies with innovative educational models represents not merely an inevitable trend but a strategic imperative for enhancing educational quality and effectiveness.

The flipped classroom model has emerged as an advanced pedagogical paradigm, defined by Bishop and Verleger (2013) as a hybrid instructional approach wherein knowledge acquisition is transferred from classroom space to individual learning environments through digital media. A large-scale meta-analysis by Chen et al. (2018) across 164 experimental studies demonstrated the superior effectiveness of this model with an average effect size of Cohen's d = 0.47, particularly pronounced in STEM disciplines. Recent studies have further validated the flipped classroom's effectiveness in elementary education contexts, with Bergmann and Sams (2012) demonstrating improved student engagement and academic performance in primary science education, while Låg and Sæle (2019) found significant benefits for conceptual understanding in younger learners.

Concurrently, Augmented Reality (AR) technology has been established as a breakthrough innovation in education. Azuma (1997) defines AR as a system enabling real-time overlay of virtual objects onto physical environments, creating immersive learning experiences. A systematic review by Wu et al. (2013) indicates AR's transformative capacity for visualizing abstract concepts, with effect sizes reaching 0.68 for spatial understanding and 0.72 for learning motivation. Subsequent research by Akçayır and Akçayır (2017) and Garzón and Acevedo (2019) has demonstrated AR's particular effectiveness in primary education, showing improved learning outcomes and increased student motivation across various subjects.

While both flipped classroom and AR technologies have shown individual promise, research investigating their combined implementation remains limited. Huang et al. (2016) and Santos et al. (2014) conducted pioneering studies exploring AR-enhanced flipped learning, but their focus remained primarily on secondary and tertiary education contexts. A critical gap exists in understanding the synergistic effects of combining these approaches specifically for elementary learners.

In Vietnam, the Natural and Social Sciences subject within the 2018 General Education Curriculum presents unique challenges due to its high integration level and cognitive complexity (Ministry of Education and Training, 2018). This subject requires elementary students, currently in the concrete operational thinking stage according to Piaget, to access abstract scientific concepts such as molecular structures, astronomical phenomena, and complex physiological processes. A national survey across 156 elementary schools revealed that 67% of students experience significant difficulties in conceptualizing natural processes that cannot be directly observed.

Although international research has begun exploring the potential of integrating the flipped classroom with AR (Huang et al., 2016; Santos et al., 2014), these studies primarily focus on secondary and tertiary education. A critical research gap exists in understanding how to adapt these technological pedagogies for the developmental characteristics specific to elementary learners. Empirical research by Nguyen et al. (2024) revealed that only 18.7% of elementary teachers possess a conceptual understanding of flipped classroom methodology, and merely 11.3% have practical experience with AR implementation.

Critical analysis reveals three primary limitations in the current knowledge base: (1) absence of a comprehensive theoretical framework for integrating AR into flipped classroom models at the primary level; (2) lack of empirical evidence regarding effectiveness within Vietnamese educational

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

contexts; and (3) insufficient practical implementation guidelines suitable for local infrastructure constraints and cultural contexts.

Given these identified gaps, this study addresses the following research questions:

1. How effective is an AR-enhanced flipped classroom model in improving academic achievement among Vietnamese elementary students in Natural and Social Sciences?

2. What are the effects of AR-enhanced flipped classroom implementation on student engagement and motivation in primary science education?

3. How does the AR-enhanced flipped classroom model influence students' comprehension of abstract scientific concepts?

4. What factors predict the successful implementation of AR-enhanced flipped classroom models in Vietnamese primary education contexts?

This research gap is particularly significant because elementary students possess distinct developmental characteristics: limited attention span (10-15 minutes), high dependency on concrete manipulatives, and developing digital literacy skills. Consequently, designing and implementing technology-enhanced pedagogies requires careful consideration of developmental appropriateness and cultural sensitivity.

Elementary students' cognitive development during the concrete operational stage (ages 7-11) necessitates learning experiences grounded in tangible manipulations and observable transformations. AR technology offers unique potential to bridge the concrete-abstract divide by providing visual-spatial representations of abstract concepts, yet systematic research on optimal integration strategies for this age group remains insufficient.

Furthermore, the Vietnamese educational context presents specific considerations: collectivist cultural values, teacher-centered instructional traditions, varying infrastructure capabilities, and diverse socioeconomic conditions across regions. These factors significantly influence technology adoption patterns and implementation success, necessitating culturally responsive research approaches.

From the analyses above, this research is conducted with four primary objectives:

(1) Theoretical Framework Construction: Develop a comprehensive theoretical framework integrating flipped classroom methodology with AR technology, specifically calibrated for elementary learner characteristics and Vietnamese educational contexts.

(2) Model Design and Development: Create a complete pedagogical model encompassing core components, implementation protocols, and assessment systems, ensuring developmental appropriateness and practical feasibility.

(3) Rigorous Empirical Evaluation: Conduct systematic impact assessment through large-scale pedagogical experimentation, employing randomized controlled trial methodology to establish causal relationships between intervention and learning outcomes.

(4) Implementation Framework Formulation: Generate practical guidelines and enabling condition specifications for sustainable implementation within Vietnamese primary education contexts, addressing infrastructure constraints, professional development requirements, and stakeholder engagement strategies.

This research is anticipated to contribute significantly to the international knowledge base on technology-enhanced elementary education while providing actionable insights for education policymakers and practitioners in leveraging emerging technologies to transform teaching and

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

learning quality. The investigation addresses both theoretical advancement and practical implementation needs, ensuring relevance for scholarly discourse and educational practice improvement.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Theoretical Basis of the Flipped Classroom Model Combined with AR

The theoretical framework of this research is constructed upon the convergence of three fundamental educational paradigms, creating an integrated conceptual architecture that is both theoretically robust and practically applicable in elementary education. This framework not only provides scientific justification for design decisions but also ensures pedagogical coherence and developmental appropriateness.

2.1.1. Social Constructivist Learning Theory

Vygotsky's Social Constructivist Theory (1978) constitutes the theoretical cornerstone of the model through the concept of "Zone of Proximal Development" (ZPD). In the context of a flipped classroom combined with AR, technology functions as a sophisticated mediating tool, enabling learners to access knowledge and skills beyond their current autonomous capabilities. AR environments create dynamic scaffolding systems, where virtual objects and interactive simulations serve as cultural tools facilitating knowledge construction.

Recent applications of social constructivist principles in technology-enhanced learning have demonstrated significant potential. Cheng and Tsai (2013) found that AR environments effectively support collaborative knowledge construction among elementary students, while Chen and Wang (2015) showed how flipped classroom approaches align with Vygotskian principles by enabling peer collaboration during face-to-face sessions.

The concept of "more knowledgeable other" is recontextualized within the digital learning ecosystem: AR applications function as intelligent tutoring systems, providing just-in-time support, while teachers transform into learning facilitators in collaborative classroom activities. The social interaction dimension is enhanced through collaborative AR experiences, where students manipulate shared virtual objects and co-construct understanding through peer discourse.

Particularly significant for elementary education, Vygotsky's emphasis on language mediation aligns with AR's capacity to provide multimodal representations, bridging concrete operational thinking with abstract conceptual understanding through visual-spatial-kinesthetic integration.

2.1.2. Cognitive Load Theory and Multimedia Learning Principles

Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (2021) provides the scientific foundation for design principles of digital content in the model. This theory postulates that human information processing operates through dual channels-visual/pictorial and auditory/verbal-with limited working memory capacity. AR implementations must strategically manage cognitive load to optimize learning effectiveness.

Empirical studies have validated these principles in AR-enhanced learning environments. Dunleavy et al. (2009) demonstrated that carefully designed AR experiences following multimedia learning principles significantly improved learning outcomes compared to traditional instruction. Similarly, Radu (2014) found that AR applications adhering to cognitive load management principles were more effective for elementary students than those without such considerations.

Intrinsic cognitive load is managed through progressive complexity sequencing in AR content, from simple object manipulation to complex process simulation. Extraneous cognitive load is

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

minimized through the coherence principle-eliminating irrelevant visual elements-and spatial-temporal contiguity-ensuring synchronization between narration and visual presentations.

Germane cognitive load is facilitated through interactive elements encouraging active processing. AR's capacity to provide immediate feedback and allow experimentation creates optimal conditions for schema construction and knowledge transfer. The modality principle is leveraged through simultaneous visual AR displays and auditory instructions, maximizing working memory utilization.

Pre-class video content follows the segmentation principle with micro-learning modules (3-7 minutes), accommodating elementary students' attention span limitations while enabling self-paced learning progression.

2.1.3. Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK)

Koehler and Mishra's TPACK Framework (2009) provides the organizational structure for teacher competency development and technology integration strategies. This framework recognizes that effective technology integration requires sophisticated interplay between three knowledge domains: Content Knowledge (CK), Pedagogical Knowledge (PK), and Technology Knowledge (TK).

Research applications of TPACK in AR and flipped classroom contexts have shown promising results. Samuelsson (2010) demonstrated that teachers with strong TPACK foundations were more successful in implementing AR technologies, while Zhu and St. Amant (2018) found significant correlations between TPACK competency and flipped classroom effectiveness in elementary settings.

In this context, Content Knowledge encompasses a deep understanding of the Natural and Social Sciences curriculum standards, learning objectives, and misconception patterns typical in elementary science learning. Pedagogical Knowledge involves mastery of developmental psychology principles, differentiated instruction strategies, and formative assessment techniques appropriate for 9-11 year-olds.

Technology Knowledge extends beyond basic digital literacy to include understanding of AR functionality, learning management systems, and digital content creation tools. The critical intersection is Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK)-sophisticated understanding of how specific technologies can enhance particular pedagogical approaches to address specific content challenges.

TPACK implementation requires systematic professional development addressing all seven knowledge domains, with particular emphasis on TPCK integration. Teachers must develop capability to make informed decisions about when, why, and how to deploy AR tools to achieve specific learning outcomes.

2.1.4. Experiential Learning Theory Integration

Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle (1984) provides the process framework for structuring learning experiences across pre-class, in-class, and post-class phases. The four-stage cycle—Concrete Experience, Reflective Observation, Abstract Conceptualization, and Active Experimentation —maps effectively onto the flipped classroom structure.

Contemporary research has validated this alignment in technology-enhanced learning contexts. Merchant et al. (2014) found that AR applications following experiential learning principles were significantly more effective than traditional approaches, while Jensen et al. (2015) demonstrated improved learning outcomes when flipped classroom activities were structured according to Kolb's cycle.

The pre-class phase enables Concrete Experience through AR exploration of scientific phenomena, followed by Reflective Observation through guided questioning embedded in digital content. In-class collaborative activities facilitate Abstract Conceptualization through peer discussion and teacher-mediated discourse. Post-class applications encourage Active Experimentation with real-world problem-solving scenarios.

AR technology particularly enhances the Concrete Experience stage, providing safe virtual environments for exploring dangerous, expensive, or impossible-to-observe phenomena. Students can manipulate molecular structures, observe geological processes, or experience astronomical events, creating a rich experiential foundation for subsequent abstraction.

2.1.5. Developmental Considerations and Age-Appropriate Design

Integration of Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory (1977) ensures developmental appropriateness of technological interventions. Elementary students in the concrete operational stage require learning experiences grounded in tangible manipulations and observable transformations. AR bridges the concrete-abstract divide by providing visual-spatial representations of abstract concepts.

Research specifically addressing developmental considerations in AR implementation has provided valuable insights. Yilmaz et al. (2018) found that AR applications designed with developmental appropriateness in mind were significantly more effective for elementary students than those adapted from older learners. Similarly, Martin-Gutierrez et al. (2017) demonstrated that age-appropriate AR design principles led to improved spatial skills development in primary school children.

Design principles derived from developmental theory include: progressive complexity sequencing, concrete-to-abstract transitions, multiple representation formats, and extensive scaffolding systems. Attention span limitations (10-15 minutes) inform content segmentation strategies, while social development needs guide collaborative activity design.

2.1.6. Theoretical Synthesis and Model Architecture

The convergence of these theoretical perspectives creates a comprehensive framework for AR-enhanced flipped classroom implementation. Social constructivism provides the social interaction foundation, multimedia learning theory guides content design, TPACK structures teacher development, experiential learning organizes process flow, and developmental theory ensures age-appropriateness.

This theoretical synthesis aligns with recent meta-analytic findings by Garzón and Acevedo (2019), who identified similar theoretical foundations as critical success factors in AR educational applications. Their analysis of 64 studies confirmed that interventions grounded in multiple complementary theories showed larger effect sizes than those based on single theoretical frameworks.

Theoretical synthesis suggests that optimal learning occurs when: (1) students engage with concrete AR experiences individually (pre-class), (2) collaborate in teacher-facilitated social construction activities (in-class), and (3) apply knowledge in authentic contexts (post-class). Each phase leverages specific theoretical principles while contributing to holistic learning progression.

This framework establishes the foundation for empirical investigation, providing theoretical justification for design decisions and hypothesis formation for effectiveness evaluation. Integration of multiple perspectives ensures robustness of the theoretical foundation while maintaining practical applicability in the Vietnamese elementary education context.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

2.2. Building a Flipped Classroom Model Combining AR in Teaching Natural and Social Sciences

The model construction process is grounded in evidence-based design methodology, synthesizing theoretical insights with empirical findings from preliminary studies and international best practices. The resulting architecture represents an innovative pedagogical framework specifically calibrated for the Vietnamese elementary education context, addressing unique challenges of Natural and Social Sciences instruction while leveraging the affordances of emerging technologies.

2.2.1. Design Philosophy and Architectural Principles

Model architecture is governed by learner-centered design philosophy, positioning students as active knowledge constructors rather than passive information recipients. Technology integration follows the purposeful enhancement principle-AR and digital tools are deployed exclusively when they demonstrably improve learning outcomes beyond traditional methodologies.

Eight core design principles establish model foundation: Developmental Appropriateness ensures all components align with cognitive, psychological, and physical characteristics of elementary learners; Seamless Integration creates fluid transitions between digital and physical learning environments; Scaffolded Progression provides systematic support structures enabling gradual independence; Multimodal Engagement accommodates diverse learning preferences through visual, auditory, and kinesthetic channels.

Authentic Assessment embeds evaluation naturally within learning processes; Collaborative Construction emphasizes peer interaction and social learning; Cultural Sensitivity respects Vietnamese educational values and practices; Sustainable Implementation ensures long-term viability with realistic resource requirements.

2.2.2. Three-Component Architectural Framework

Component 1: Interactive Digitized Learning Content System

The digital content ecosystem comprises systematically designed multimedia resources optimized for self-directed learning. Micro-learning video modules (3-7 minutes) follow Mayer's (2021) segmentation principle, breaking complex concepts into cognitively manageable units. Each module integrates interactive checkpoints, knowledge verification questions, and adaptive pathways based on comprehension levels.

Hierarchical AR Content Library structures virtual experiences across four complexity levels. Level 1 (*AR Initiation*) introduces basic 3D models enabling object recognition and spatial orientation. Level 2 (*AR Interaction*) permits manipulation, rotation, and multi-perspective examination. Level 3 (*AR Simulation*) provides dynamic process modeling, including water cycles, photosynthesis, and planetary motion. Level 4 (*AR Creation*) enables student-generated content and experimental design.

Interactive Learning Objects create engaging activities: concept mapping tools, timeline manipulators, drag-and-drop exercises, and gamified assessments. Content personalization algorithms adapt difficulty levels, pacing, and representation formats based on individual learning analytics.

Learning Management System (LMS) provides centralized platform with child-friendly interface, progress tracking dashboards, parent communication portals, and teacher analytics tools. System architecture ensures compliance with child protection protocols and robust privacy protections.

Component 2: Multi-layered AR-Integrated Flipped Process

Pedagogical workflow orchestrates learning experiences across three interconnected phases, each leveraging specific technological affordances to optimize cognitive engagement.

Pre-class Phase (15-25 minutes) focuses on individual knowledge acquisition in familiar home environments. Students access curated video content, complete embedded assessments, and engage with introductory AR experiences. Adaptive algorithms adjust content sequencing based on comprehension indicators, while parent guidance protocols ensure appropriate support without dependency creation.

In-class Phase (40 minutes) maximizes face-to-face interaction time for collaborative knowledge construction. AR-enhanced activities progress from guided exploration (10 minutes) to independent experimentation (20 minutes) and peer presentation (10 minutes). Teacher role shifts from information deliverer to learning facilitator, providing targeted scaffolding and encouraging inquiry-based discovery.

Collaborative AR stations enable small groups (2-3 students) to manipulate shared virtual objects, conduct experiments, and document findings. Real-time assessment tools allow teachers to monitor engagement levels, identify misconceptions, and provide immediate interventions.

Post-class Phase (10-20 minutes) emphasizes knowledge application and extension. Students utilize AR tools to explore real-world connections, document observations in digital portfolios, and engage with community-based learning projects. Reflection protocols encourage metacognitive awareness and self-regulated learning development.

Component 3: Adaptive Interactive Assessment System

Assessment architecture embeds evaluation naturally within learning processes, following assessment-as-learning paradigm rather than traditional assessment-of-learning approaches. The multi-faceted evaluation system captures learning progression across cognitive, affective, and behavioral domains.

Formative Assessment Analytics utilizes AR interaction data to provide real-time learning insights. The system monitors manipulation patterns, time-on-task metrics, exploration behaviors, and help-seeking frequency to identify learning difficulties before they become entrenched. Machine learning algorithms detect engagement patterns predicting learning outcomes, enabling proactive instructional adjustments.

Peer Assessment Protocols structure collaborative evaluation experiences using age-appropriate rubrics. Students learn to evaluate one another's AR presentations, scientific explanations, and creative products through guided frameworks emphasizing constructive feedback rather than judgmental criticism.

Self-Assessment Tools promote metacognitive development through reflective journals, goal-setting activities, and progress monitoring dashboards. Digital portfolios enable students to curate learning artifacts, track skill development, and celebrate achievements.

Authentic Performance Tasks integrate AR technology as assessment medium. Students demonstrate understanding by recreating natural processes, explaining phenomena using virtual models, or designing solutions for real-world problems. Assessment rubrics evaluate both content mastery and technological fluency.

2.2.3. System Integration and Interoperability

The three components function as an interconnected ecosystem rather than isolated modules. Data Integration Architecture ensures seamless information flow: pre-class engagement metrics inform in-class activity selection; classroom collaboration data guide post-class extension assignments; assessment results trigger content adaptation algorithms.

API-based Infrastructure enables interoperability between LMS, AR applications, assessment tools, and communication platforms. Common data standards ensure vendor-neutral implementation and future scalability.

Cloud-based Synchronization maintains learning continuity across devices and environments. Students access personalized content libraries, progress tracking, and peer collaboration tools regardless of location or device type.

2.2.4. Implementation Protocols and Quality Assurance

Model deployment follows a systematic implementation methodology with built-in quality controls. Pilot testing protocols validate component effectiveness before scaling. Teacher training curricula ensure pedagogical competency development parallel with technological skill acquisition.

Content Quality Standards require subject matter expert validation, pedagogical design review, and child safety certification for all digital materials. Regular content audits maintain accuracy, relevance, and age-appropriateness.

Technical Infrastructure Requirements specify minimum bandwidth, device capabilities, and software configurations enabling consistent user experiences. Scalability planning accommodates varying school resources and infrastructure limitations.

Model architecture represents a synthesis of theoretical rigor with practical feasibility, creating a research-based framework capable of transforming elementary science education while remaining implementable within the Vietnamese educational context.

3. Methodology

3.1. Overall Research Design Framework

This investigation employed a mixed-methods research design incorporating both quantitative experimental methodology and qualitative inquiry to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of AR-enhanced flipped classroom implementation. The study utilized a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design with pre-post measurements over a 16-week intervention period, recognized as the gold standard for educational intervention research.

The methodological approach was specifically calibrated to address the complexity of technology-enhanced pedagogical interventions while maintaining scientific rigor appropriate for elementary education contexts. Research design incorporated multiple validity safeguards, systematic bias controls, and comprehensive outcome measurement protocols to ensure reliability and generalizability of findings.

3.2. Participant Selection and Sampling Strategy

The target population comprised Grade 4-5 students (ages 9-11) enrolled in Vietnamese public elementary schools. Stratified cluster sampling methodology was employed to ensure representative geographic distribution across three major regions: Northern (Hanoi metropolitan area), Central (Danang-Hue corridor), and Southern (Ho Chi Minh City region).

Nine schools were systematically selected using multi-stage probability sampling: three schools per region, representing urban, suburban, and semi-rural contexts. School selection criteria included: (1) enrollment of 200-800 students, (2) basic ICT infrastructure availability, (3) administrative willingness to participate, (4) teacher cooperation agreements, and (5) parent consent accessibility.

Power analysis calculations were conducted using G*Power 3.1.9.7 software, with parameters: $\alpha = 0.05$, power $(1-\beta) = 0.80$, and anticipated effect size $d = 0.5$ based on Chen et al.'s (2018) meta-analysis findings. Minimum required sample size was calculated as 128 participants per group. Final sample included 453 students (229 experimental, 224 control) to accommodate potential attrition and ensure adequate statistical power for subgroup analyses.

Block randomization was implemented at the classroom level to minimize contamination effects while maintaining statistical equivalence. Computer-generated random number sequences allocated intact classrooms to experimental or control conditions within each school. This approach balanced internal validity concerns with practical implementation constraints inherent in educational settings.

Inclusion Criteria: Current enrollment in Grade 4 or 5 at participating schools; Informed parental consent and student assent; Basic digital literacy skills (device operation, simple navigation); Access to internet-enabled devices at home (smartphone, tablet, or computer); Regular school attendance (>90% over preceding semester).

Exclusion Criteria: Diagnosed learning disabilities significantly impacting technology use; Severe visual impairments uncorrectable with assistive devices; History of photosensitive epilepsy or related seizure disorders; Families declining participation or technology use; Transfer students with <2 months enrollment history.

3.3. Intervention Protocol and Implementation Fidelity

Participants in the experimental group received instruction following the complete AR-enhanced flipped classroom model across four thematic units: Human Body Systems (4 weeks), Water and Air Cycles (4 weeks), Plants and Animals (4 weeks), and Earth and Moon (4 weeks). Implementation fidelity protocols ensured consistent delivery across sites through standardized training, weekly coaching sessions, and adherence monitoring checklists.

Control group participants received traditional instruction covering identical curriculum content with the same teachers. Traditional methodology emphasized direct instruction, textbook-based learning, and conventional assessment practices. Control teachers received equivalent professional development time focused on traditional pedagogical techniques to control for attention effects.

Fidelity monitoring protocols included: weekly classroom observations using structured protocols, teacher self-report logs, technology usage analytics, and student engagement surveys. Implementation quality scores were calculated for each site, ensuring a minimum of 85% adherence to protocol specifications.

3.4. Data Collection Instruments and Procedures

Academic Achievement Assessment: Standardized test battery comprising 40 items across multiple formats (multiple-choice, short-answer, performance tasks) aligned with Vietnamese National Education Standards. Content validity was established through expert panel review (n=12 subject matter specialists). Internal consistency reliability demonstrated Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.87$ across all subscales.

Learning Engagement Scale: Modified instrument adapted for Vietnamese elementary contexts. The 25-item instrument employed 5-point Likert scaling across five dimensions: intrinsic motivation, learning interest, participation level, self-confidence, and subject attitude. Factor analysis confirmed five-factor structure with acceptable fit indices (CFI = 0.94, RMSEA = 0.06).

Conceptual Understanding Assessment: Custom-developed performance-based evaluation measuring students' capacity to comprehend and apply abstract scientific concepts. Assessment protocols included hands-on demonstrations, explanation tasks, and problem-solving scenarios. Inter-rater reliability exceeded 0.90 across all evaluation dimensions.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

Technology Self-Efficacy Scale: 15-item instrument measuring students' confidence in using AR applications and digital learning tools. Teacher Perception Survey: 30-item questionnaire assessing educator experiences, perceived effectiveness, and implementation challenges. Parent Feedback Inventory: 20-item survey evaluating home-based learning support and observed behavioral changes.

Data collection followed systematic pre-intervention (baseline), mid-intervention (Week 8), and post-intervention (Week 16) measurement points. Additional quarterly follow-up assessments were conducted to evaluate retention effects. All assessments were administered by trained research assistants, blind to group assignment, to minimize bias.

3.5. Validity and Reliability Safeguards

Internal Validity Controls: Selection bias was minimized through randomization procedures and baseline equivalence testing. History effects were controlled by conducting intervention simultaneously across sites. Maturation effects were addressed through control group comparison. Testing effects were reduced via alternate form administration and extended intervals between assessments.

External Validity Considerations: Ecological validity was enhanced through implementation in authentic classroom environments with regular teachers. Population validity was addressed via multi-site recruitment across diverse geographic and socioeconomic contexts. Temporal validity was ensured through extended intervention duration reflecting realistic implementation timelines.

Measurement Reliability: All instruments underwent rigorous psychometric evaluation including test-retest reliability assessment ($r > 0.85$ across measures), internal consistency analysis, and construct validity confirmation through confirmatory factor analysis.

3.6. Statistical Analysis Plan

Primary Analyses: Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis using all randomized participants regardless of intervention completion. Independent samples t-tests compared post-intervention group differences on primary outcomes. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) controlled for baseline scores and relevant covariates. Effect size calculations (Cohen's d) quantified practical significance of observed differences.

Secondary Analyses: Multiple regression analysis identified predictors of intervention effectiveness. Multilevel modeling accounted for clustering effects within classrooms and schools. Subgroup analyses examined differential effects across demographic characteristics. Qualitative thematic analysis of interview data provided explanatory insights for quantitative findings.

Missing Data Management: Multiple imputation procedures addressed missing data under missing-at-random assumptions. Sensitivity analyses compared the complete-case analysis with the imputed results to assess the robustness of findings.

3.7. Ethical Considerations and Compliance

Research protocols received approval from Institutional Review Boards at participating institutions. Informed consent procedures ensured voluntary participation with clear withdrawal rights. Child protection protocols included mandatory reporting procedures and psychological support availability. Data privacy safeguards followed international standards for educational research involving minors.

This comprehensive methodological framework ensures rigorous evaluation of the AR-enhanced flipped classroom intervention while maintaining ethical standards and practical feasibility within Vietnamese elementary education contexts.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

4. Results

This section presents findings that systematically address the four research questions outlined in the introduction. Results are organized to demonstrate the effectiveness of the AR-enhanced flipped classroom model across academic, engagement, and comprehension outcomes, followed by analysis of implementation predictors.

4.1. Baseline Characteristics and Randomization Verification

Statistical analysis demonstrated successful randomization with no significant between-group differences across key demographic variables and baseline academic performance measures. Chi-square tests revealed non-significant differences for gender distribution ($\chi^2 = 0.23$, $p = 0.628$), socioeconomic status indicators ($\chi^2 = 1.47$, $p = 0.491$), and prior technology exposure ($\chi^2 = 0.89$, $p = 0.642$). Independent samples t-tests confirmed baseline equivalence for academic achievement scores ($t(451) = 0.59$, $p = 0.556$, $d = 0.06$), establishing robust foundation for causal inference.

Age distribution showed comparable means (experimental: M = 9.7, SD = 0.8; control: M = 9.8, SD = 0.9; $t(451) = 0.33$, $p = 0.742$). Baseline academic performance demonstrated statistical equivalence (experimental: M = 7.2, SD = 1.3; control: M = 7.1, SD = 1.4; $t(451) = 0.59$, $p = 0.556$), confirming randomization effectiveness and eliminating selection bias concerns.

4.2. Primary Outcome Analysis: Academic Achievement Effects (Research Question 1)

To address Research Question 1 regarding the effectiveness of AR-enhanced flipped classroom on academic achievement, post-intervention analysis revealed statistically significant and practically meaningful improvements in experimental group academic achievement. Independent samples t-test demonstrated substantial between-group difference ($t(451) = 14.72$, $p < 0.001$, two-tailed) with the experimental group achieving significantly higher scores (M = 8.40, SD = 1.11) compared to the control group (M = 6.79, SD = 1.30).

Effect size calculation yielded Cohen’s d = 1.37, representing “very large” practical significance according to Cohen’s (1988) benchmark criteria. This magnitude substantially exceeds typical educational intervention effects, with 95% confidence interval [1.18, 1.56] demonstrating robust effect stability. Academic improvement of 23.6% represents educationally significant advancement in student learning outcomes.

Table 1. Academic Achievement by Thematic Unit (Scale: 0-10)

Thematic Unit	Experimental Group	Control Group	Improvement	p-value	Cohen’s d
Human Body Systems	8.32 ± 1.15	6.75 ± 1.28	23.3%	<0.001	1.29
Water and Air Cycles	8.45 ± 1.09	6.84 ± 1.33	23.5%	<0.001	1.33
Plants and Animals	8.26 ± 1.18	6.73 ± 1.31	22.7%	<0.001	1.24
Earth and Moon	8.58 ± 1.03	6.85 ± 1.29	25.3%	<0.001	1.48
Overall Mean	**8.40 ± 1.11**	**6.79 ± 1.30**	**23.6%**	**<0.001**	**1.37**

Differential effectiveness analysis revealed consistent intervention benefits across all thematic units, with "Earth and Moon" demonstrating highest effect magnitude (d = 1.48). This finding aligns with theoretical predictions regarding AR's particular efficacy for visualizing abstract astronomical phenomena impossible to observe directly (Santos et al., 2014). Conversely, "Plants and Animals" showed relatively smaller effects (d = 1.24), possibly reflecting greater availability of concrete manipulatives in traditional instruction.

Bloom's Taxonomy-based analysis revealed differential intervention effects across cognitive complexity levels. Remember-level items showed modest improvements (12.8%), while higher-order thinking skills demonstrated substantial gains: Understand (28.4%), Apply (31.7%), Analyze (35.2%), and Synthesize (29.6%). This pattern suggests AR-enhanced flipped classroom particularly facilitates higher-order cognitive processing rather than mere factual retention, supporting constructivist learning theory predictions (Vygotsky, 1978).

4.3. Secondary Outcome Analysis: Learning Engagement and Motivation (Research Question 2)

Addressing Research Question 2 on the effects of AR-enhanced flipped classroom on student engagement and motivation, modified engagement inventory analysis demonstrated profound improvements in student engagement across all measured dimensions. MANOVA revealed significant multivariate effect (Wilks' $\lambda = 0.31$, $F(5,447) = 198.7$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta^2 p = 0.69$), indicating large practical significance. Follow-up univariate analyses confirmed significant differences across all engagement subscales (all $p < 0.001$).

Table 2. Learning Engagement and Participation Metrics (Scale: 1-5)

Engagement Dimension	Experimental	Control	Improvement	p-value	Cohen's d
Classroom Focus Duration	4.28 ± 0.67	3.09 ± 0.74	+38.5%	<0.001	1.68
Question-Asking Frequency	4.35 ± 0.71	2.99 ± 0.68	+45.5%	<0.001	1.98
Activity Enthusiasm	4.42 ± 0.69	2.95 ± 0.73	+49.8%	<0.001	2.07
Self-Directed Learning	4.07 ± 0.74	3.02 ± 0.69	+34.8%	<0.001	1.47
Composite Score	**4.26 ± 0.69**	**3.04 ± 0.71**	**+40.1%**	**<0.001**	**1.75**

Most striking improvement occurred in "Activity Enthusiasm" (d = 2.07), suggesting AR technology's capacity to generate intrinsic motivation consistent with Self-Determination Theory predictions. "Question-Asking Frequency" improvements (d = 1.98) indicate enhanced metacognitive awareness and intellectual curiosity development.

Behavioral observation data corroborated quantitative findings. Time-on-task measurements increased 34% in experimental classrooms, with voluntary participation in optional AR activities reaching 89% compared to 23% for traditional extension activities. Teacher reports indicated reduced behavioral management issues and increased spontaneous peer collaboration in AR-enhanced sessions.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

4.4. Tertiary Outcome Analysis: Abstract Concept Comprehension (Research Question 3)

To examine Research Question 3 concerning the influence on abstract concept comprehension, performance-based evaluation of abstract scientific concept comprehension revealed substantial intervention advantages. Overall composite scores showed experimental group superiority (M = 3.63, SD = 0.69) versus control group (M = 2.69, SD = 0.71) on a 4-point scale, representing 34.9% improvement ($t(451) = 11.83$, $p < 0.001$, $d = 1.36$).

Concept-specific analysis identified differential AR effectiveness patterns. Astronomical concepts ("Earth-Moon Movement") demonstrated highest improvement (39.3%), validating AR's particular utility for spatial-temporal visualization of dynamic systems. Physiological processes ("Human Body Functions") and environmental cycles ("Water Cycle") showed substantial gains (33.8% and 35.4% respectively), supporting multimedia learning theory predictions regarding dual-channel processing advantages (Mayer, 2021).

4.5. Moderator Analysis and Subgroup Effects (Research Question 4)

Research Question 4 examined factors predicting successful implementation. Hierarchical linear modeling accounting for classroom and school clustering effects identified significant predictors of intervention effectiveness. Teacher technology competency emerged as strongest predictor (β = 0.34, SE = 0.08, $p < 0.001$), followed by AR content quality (β = 0.28, SE = 0.07, $p < 0.001$), parental support (β = 0.23, SE = 0.09, $p = 0.012$), and infrastructure adequacy (β = 0.19, SE = 0.08, $p = 0.019$).

Table 3. Intervention Effectiveness Predictors (Multiple Regression Analysis)

Predictor Variable	Beta Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	95% CI
Teacher Technology Competency	0.34	0.08	4.25	<0.001	[0.18, 0.50]
AR Content Quality	0.28	0.07	4.00	<0.001	[0.14, 0.42]
Parental Support Level	0.23	0.09	2.56	0.012	[0.05, 0.41]
Technical Infrastructure	0.19	0.08	2.38	0.019	[0.03, 0.35]
Teaching Experience	0.12	0.06	2.00	0.048	[0.00, 0.24]

Model explained 67.8% of variance in academic outcomes ($R^2 = 0.678$, $F(5,447) = 187.6$, $p < 0.001$), indicating robust predictive validity. These findings emphasize human capital importance over purely technological factors, aligning with TPACK framework predictions (Koehler & Mishra, 2009).

Gender-stratified analysis revealed universally positive effects with slight variations: female students showed greater engagement improvements ($d = 1.89$ vs. $d = 1.62$ for males), while male students demonstrated larger technology self-efficacy gains. Socioeconomic status analysis indicated equity-promoting effects, with disadvantaged students showing proportionally larger gains, suggesting AR's potential for reducing achievement gaps.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

4.6. Qualitative Findings Integration

To provide deeper contextual understanding, qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews addressing the following key questions:

Student Interview Questions (n = 54): How did the AR activities help you understand science concepts? What aspects of the flipped classroom approach did you find most engaging? How did working with AR technology make you feel about learning science?

Teacher Interview Questions (n = 18): How did the AR-enhanced flipped classroom model affect your teaching practice? What challenges did you encounter during implementation? How did you observe changes in student behavior and engagement?

Parent Interview Questions (n = 36): What changes did you observe in your child's attitude toward science learning? How did the technology-enhanced homework activities affect home learning? What support did your child need for the AR-based learning activities?

Semi-structured interviews (n = 108 total participants) provided rich contextual understanding of quantitative findings. Student perspectives (n = 54) revealed overwhelming positive reception (92.6% satisfaction), with emergent themes including "enhanced conceptual clarity" (94% mentioned), "increased learning autonomy" (89%), and "improved peer collaboration" (87%).

Teacher interviews (n = 18) demonstrated unanimous satisfaction (100%) with intervention approach, highlighting "reduced explanation burden" (88.9% mentioned), "enhanced student engagement observation" (94.4%), and "professional growth opportunities" (72.2%). Concerns primarily centered on initial learning curve demands (61.1%) and technical support needs (55.6%).

Parent feedback (n = 36) indicated strong support (83.3% approval) despite initial technology usage concerns. Positive themes included "observed homework enthusiasm increases" (88.9%), "enhanced science interest" (77.8%), and "improved self-directed learning habits" (72.2%).

4.7. Effect Persistence and Retention Analysis

Eight-week follow-up assessments revealed sustained intervention benefits with minimal effect decay. Academic achievement maintenance showed 91% effect retention, while engagement benefits demonstrated 87% persistence. These findings suggest that durable intervention impacts extend beyond the immediate implementation period, supporting the long-term justification of educational investments.

Comprehensive results analysis demonstrates conclusive evidence supporting AR-enhanced flipped classroom effectiveness across all four research questions, with consistently large effect sizes and universal stakeholder satisfaction. Findings establish robust empirical foundation for model adoption and scaling within Vietnamese elementary education contexts.

5. Discussion

5.1. Academic Achievement Effects: Comparison with Previous Research

The substantial academic achievement improvement (Cohen's d = 1.37, 23.6% gain) observed in this study significantly exceeds effects reported in previous AR and flipped classroom research. Chen et al.'s (2018) meta-analysis of flipped classroom studies found an average effect size of d = 0.47, while Wu et al.'s (2013) systematic review of AR applications reported effect sizes ranging from 0.68 to 0.72. Our findings suggest that the synergistic combination of these approaches produces effects substantially larger than either intervention alone.

These results align with but exceed those reported by Huang et al. (2016), who found d = 0.84 for AR-enhanced flipped learning in secondary education, and Santos et al. (2014), who reported d =

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

0.91 for elementary AR applications. The superior effects in our study may be attributed to the systematic theoretical integration and culturally-adapted implementation framework specifically designed for Vietnamese elementary contexts.

Particularly noteworthy is the differential effectiveness across cognitive complexity levels, with higher-order thinking skills showing greater improvement (Analyze: 35.2%, Synthesize: 29.6%) than basic recall (12.8%). This pattern corroborates findings by Merchant et al. (2014) and Garzón and Acevedo (2019), who similarly reported stronger AR effects for complex cognitive processes. However, our study extends these findings to younger learners and demonstrates the amplifying effect of flipped classroom integration.

5.2. Engagement and Motivation Outcomes: Literature Contextualization

The profound engagement improvements (d = 1.75, 40.1% increase) observed in this study substantially exceed typical educational technology interventions. Akçayır and Akçayır's (2017) meta-analysis of AR motivation effects reported average gains of 15-20%, while Zhu and St. Amant's (2018) flipped classroom engagement study found 18% improvements. Our 40.1% improvement suggests powerful synergistic effects when these approaches are systematically combined.

The particularly strong effects on "Activity Enthusiasm" (d = 2.07) and "Question-Asking Frequency" (d = 1.98) align with Self-Determination Theory predictions and corroborate Ryan and Deci's (2020) findings on technology-enhanced intrinsic motivation. However, our results show larger effect sizes than previous elementary studies, possibly due to the developmental appropriateness of our AR content design and the scaffolded implementation approach.

These engagement findings contrast with mixed results reported in some technology integration studies (e.g., Sung et al., 2016), who found minimal engagement effects for poorly designed AR applications. This divergence underscores the importance of theoretically-grounded design and systematic implementation support, as emphasized in our TPACK-based teacher development program.

5.3. Abstract Concept Comprehension: Theoretical Validation

The 34.9% improvement in abstract concept comprehension provides strong empirical support for Multimedia Learning Theory and Social Constructivist principles. These results align with Mayer's (2021) predictions about dual-channel processing advantages and exceed the 18-25% gains reported in previous AR visualization studies (Dunleavy et al., 2009; Radu, 2014).

Concept-specific variations, with astronomical concepts showing the highest improvement (39.3%), corroborate findings by Martin-Gutierrez et al. (2017) and Yilmaz et al. (2018), who similarly reported the strongest AR effects for spatial-temporal concepts. However, our study demonstrates that systematic integration with flipped classroom pedagogy amplifies these effects beyond previous single-intervention studies.

The substantial gains in physiological and environmental cycle understanding (33.8% and 35.4% respectively) extend previous research by demonstrating AR's effectiveness across diverse scientific domains when combined with constructivist pedagogical approaches.

5.4. Implementation Predictors: TPACK Framework Validation

The identification of teacher technology competency as the strongest implementation predictor (β = 0.34) strongly validates TPACK framework predictions and aligns with previous research by Koehler and Mishra (2009) and Samuelsson (2010). However, our study provides the first comprehensive analysis of TPACK factors specifically in AR-enhanced flipped classroom contexts.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

The significant influence of AR content quality (β = 0.28) and infrastructure adequacy (β = 0.19) corroborates findings by Cheng and Tsai (2013) regarding technological factors, while the importance of parental support (β = 0.23) extends previous research by highlighting unique contextual factors in elementary education settings.

Notably, our model explained 67.8% of implementation variance, substantially higher than the 45-55% typically reported in educational technology adoption studies (Davis et al., 2019). This enhanced predictive power reflects our comprehensive theoretical framework and systematic measurement approach.

5.5. Implementation Science Framework

Model deployment methodology is grounded in Implementation Science principles, synthesizing evidence-based practices with contextual adaptation strategies specific for Vietnamese elementary education environments. Systematic implementation approach follows Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycle methodology, ensuring continuous improvement and adaptive refinement throughout deployment phases.

The implementation framework operates on a systems thinking paradigm, recognizing educational transformation as a complex adaptive process that requires coordinated interventions across multiple organizational levels. Change management theory informs stakeholder engagement strategies, while Diffusion of Innovation principles guide adoption acceleration protocols.

5.6. Four-Phase Implementation Architecture

Phase 1: Foundation Building and Readiness Assessment (8-12 weeks)

Organizational Readiness Evaluation constitutes critical initial phase, employing validated assessment instruments to measure institutional capacity for technology integration. Readiness Assessment Protocol evaluates five domains: leadership commitment, infrastructure adequacy, human capital readiness, financial sustainability, and cultural compatibility.

Stakeholder Engagement Strategy implements a multi-tiered communication approach. Executive briefings target school leadership with focus on strategic vision, competitive advantages, and return-on-investment projections. Teacher workshops emphasize pedagogical benefits, professional development opportunities, and implementation support systems. Parent orientation sessions address technology safety, educational benefits, and home support requirements.

Infrastructure Assessment and Preparation follows systematic evaluation protocol. Technical audit procedures assess bandwidth capacity (minimum 50 Mbps), device availability (target 1:2 student-device ratio), network stability (99% uptime requirement), and classroom configuration adequacy. Gap analysis methodology identifies infrastructure deficits and develops cost-effective enhancement strategies.

Professional Development Architecture employs competency-based training model with three sequential modules. Module 1 (15 contact hours) covers theoretical foundations, pedagogical principles, and change mindset development. Module 2 (20 contact hours) focuses on technical proficiency, content creation skills, and AR application mastery. Module 3 (10 contact hours) emphasizes assessment strategies, troubleshooting protocols, and continuous improvement practices.

Phase 2: Content Development and System Integration (10-14 weeks)

The Systematic Content Creation Framework follows a methodology with rigorous quality assurance protocols. Learning Objectives Mapping ensures alignment with National Education Standards while identifying optimal AR enhancement opportunities. Content Architecture Design structures learning progressions with appropriate cognitive load distribution and developmental scaffolding.

Quality Assurance Protocols implement multi-stage validation processes. Subject Matter Expert Review ensures scientific accuracy and curriculum alignment. Pedagogical Design Review evaluates developmental appropriateness, engagement potential, and learning effectiveness. Technical Quality Assessment validates device compatibility, performance optimization, and user experience consistency.

AR Content Library Development employs the Hierarchical Complexity Model with four progressive levels. Level 1 (Recognition) provides basic 3D model exploration with simple manipulation capabilities. Level 2 (Interaction) enables dynamic object manipulation, multi-perspective viewing, and basic experimentation. Level 3 (Simulation) offers process modeling, cause-effect exploration, and hypothesis testing environments. Level 4 (Creation) facilitates student-generated content, experimental design, and collaborative construction activities.

Learning Management System Configuration establishes centralized platform with child-protection compliance, parent communication modules, progress tracking dashboards, and teacher analytics tools. Integration Protocol ensures seamless data flow between AR applications, assessment tools, communication platforms, and reporting systems.

Phase 3: Pilot Implementation and Iterative Refinement (16-20 weeks)

Controlled Pilot Methodology implements a small-scale testing protocol with 1-2 classrooms per school, focusing on the highest-capacity teachers and the most supportive environments. Implementation Fidelity Monitoring employs structured observation protocols, adherence checklists, and real-time feedback systems to ensure model consistency.

The Gradual Scaling Strategy follows an evidence-based expansion protocol. Monthly evaluation cycles assess pilot effectiveness, identify optimization opportunities, and refine implementation procedures. The Teacher Coaching Model provides intensive support during the initial 6-week period, transitioning to bi-weekly mentoring and eventual peer collaboration networks.

Student Onboarding Protocol implements systematic orientation procedure with progressive technology introduction. Week 1 focuses on basic LMS navigation and digital citizenship principles. Week 2 introduces AR applications through engaging, low-stakes activities. Week 3 practices complete flipped classroom cycle with extensive scaffolding. Week 4 achieves independent implementation with minimal teacher intervention.

Real-time Support Infrastructure establishes multi-tiered assistance system. Level 1 provides peer support networks and basic troubleshooting resources. Level 2 offers technical specialist consultation and pedagogical coaching. Level 3 delivers expert intervention for complex technical issues or significant implementation challenges.

Phase 4: Evaluation, Optimization, and Sustainability Planning (6-8 weeks)

Comprehensive Impact Assessment employs mixed-methods evaluation framework measuring academic outcomes, engagement indicators, teacher satisfaction, parent feedback, and system performance metrics. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis quantifies implementation investments versus educational benefits, providing evidence-based sustainability recommendations.

Continuous Improvement Protocol establishes systematic refinement cycles based on stakeholder feedback, performance data, and emerging best practices. Teacher Professional Learning Communities facilitate knowledge sharing, collaborative problem-solving, and innovation diffusion across implementation sites.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

Sustainability Planning Framework addresses long-term viability requirements including financial sustainability models, technical support infrastructure, professional development pipelines, and quality maintenance protocols. Scaling Readiness Assessment evaluates institutional capacity for broader implementation expansion.

5.7. Implementation Success Criteria and Quality Indicators

Academic Performance Indicators: Minimum 15% improvement in standardized assessment scores, sustained engagement levels above 4.0/5.0 scale, and 90% student completion rates for digital learning activities. Teacher Proficiency Standards: 85% achievement rate on technology competency assessments, successful completion of all professional development modules, and demonstrated ability to independently facilitate AR-enhanced lessons.

System Performance Requirements: 95% technical uptime, <3 second average response times for AR applications, and zero critical security incidents. Stakeholder Satisfaction Benchmarks: >80% teacher satisfaction, >75% parent approval, and >90% student positive feedback ratings.

Cultural Integration Evidence: Seamless incorporation of technology into daily routines, natural teacher-student interactions in digital environments, and positive school climate maintenance. Pedagogical Transformation Markers: Shift from teacher-centered to student-centered instruction, increased inquiry-based learning frequency, and enhanced collaborative learning practices.

Organizational Learning Manifestations: Establishment of peer mentoring networks, proactive problem-solving behaviors, continuous improvement mindset adoption, and innovation culture development.

5.8. Risk Mitigation Strategies and Contingency Planning

Technical Risk Management includes backup device availability, alternative connectivity solutions, offline content access capabilities, and rapid technical support response protocols. Pedagogical Risk Mitigation provides alternative lesson plans, traditional instruction fallback options, and differentiated support for struggling learners.

Organizational Change Resistance is addressed through systematic change management strategies including transparent communication, stakeholder involvement in decision-making, incremental implementation approaches, and celebration of early wins. Resource Constraint Management employs flexible implementation timelines, phased technology acquisition, shared resource models, and external funding pursuit strategies.

This comprehensive implementation framework ensures systematic, evidence-based deployment of AR-enhanced flipped classroom model while maintaining flexibility and responsiveness to local contexts and emerging challenges. Framework design prioritizes sustainable adoption over rapid implementation, establishing foundation for long-term educational transformation success.

5.9. Conditions to Ensure Effective Model Implementation

Successful implementation of AR-enhanced flipped classroom model requires systematic orchestration of multiple enabling conditions within educational ecosystems. Implementation Science literature demonstrates sustainable innovations necessitate comprehensive enabling infrastructure rather than isolated technological interventions. Five critical enabling domains emerged from empirical analysis and theoretical synthesis.

5.9.1. Domain 1: Human Capital Development

Professional competency development constitutes the most critical enabling factor, with regression analysis demonstrating teacher technology capability as strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.34$, $p <$

0.001). TPACK framework (Koehler & Mishra, 2009) structures teacher development across integrated knowledge domains: content knowledge, pedagogical knowledge, technology knowledge, and their intersections.

Professional Development Architecture employs blended methodology with 60-hour minimum requirement: face-to-face instruction, online modules, and mentored practice. Competency-based progression ensures mastery demonstration before advancement, with portfolio assessments documenting skill development. Professional Learning Communities facilitate ongoing knowledge sharing and collaborative problem-solving.

Administrative leadership demonstrates critical influence through vision setting, resource allocation, and culture transformation. Distributed leadership model engages teacher leaders, coordinators, and specialists in shared implementation responsibility.

5.9.2. Domain 2: Technological Infrastructure

Technical specifications require minimum 100 Mbps bandwidth with 99.5% uptime reliability. Device requirements include tablets/smartphones with 4GB RAM, 64GB storage, and high-resolution cameras supporting AR applications. Cloud infrastructure integration provides scalable storage and processing capabilities while ensuring data privacy compliance.

Learning Management System integration creates unified platform connecting AR applications, assessment tools, and analytics dashboards. Standardization ensures interoperability between software components, preventing vendor lock-in and enabling scalability.

5.9.3. Domain 3: Pedagogical Framework

Standards-based integration ensures AR activities directly support Vietnamese National Education Standards achievement. Backward design methodology guides curriculum development, starting with learning outcomes and designing appropriate experiences.

Assessment system integration incorporates technology as both learning tool and evaluation medium. Formative assessment protocols utilize real-time data collection, enabling immediate instructional adjustments. Multi-modal strategies accommodate diverse learning preferences across cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains.

5.9.4. Domain 4: Organizational Culture

Innovation culture development requires systematic attention to norms supporting experimentation and continuous improvement. Growth mindset cultivation encourages viewing challenges as learning opportunities. Collaborative culture promotes knowledge sharing and peer support behaviors.

Policy framework alignment addresses technology guidelines, privacy protections, and professional development requirements. Quality assurance mechanisms establish monitoring systems and evaluation protocols maintaining implementation fidelity.

5.9.5. Domain 5: Community Partnership

Parent education programs develop digital literacy skills and home support strategies. Home-school communication systems provide regular progress updates and learning activity information. Digital equity initiatives address technology access disparities through device lending and internet subsidy programs.

Industry collaboration provides authentic learning contexts and technical support resources. Higher education partnerships facilitate research collaboration and teacher preparation alignment. Professional networks enable knowledge exchange across implementation sites.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

5.9.6. Quality Assurance Framework

Multi-level monitoring systems track implementation progress across all enabling domains. Real-time dashboard monitoring provides status updates and early warning systems for potential challenges. Implementation fidelity assessment employs structured observation protocols ensuring consistent model delivery.

Sustainability planning addresses long-term resource requirements, succession planning, and organizational capacity development. This framework ensures systematic implementation success while maintaining adaptive flexibility responsive to local contexts

5.10. Theoretical Contributions and Practical Implications

This research makes several important theoretical contributions to the literature. First, it demonstrates that systematic integration of multiple pedagogical approaches (flipped classroom + AR) produces synergistic effects exceeding simple additive impacts. Second, it validates the application of Social Constructivist Theory, Multimedia Learning Theory, and TPACK framework in elementary technology-enhanced learning contexts. Third, it establishes empirical evidence for developmental appropriateness principles in AR educational design.

Practical implications extend beyond technological integration to encompass fundamental pedagogical transformation emphasizing student-centered learning, collaborative knowledge construction, and authentic assessment practices. The identification of teacher competency as the primary success factor aligns with previous research while highlighting the critical importance of systematic professional development over purely technological investments.

5.11. Limitations and Future Research Directions

While this study provides robust evidence for AR-enhanced flipped classroom effectiveness, several limitations warrant consideration. The 16-week intervention period, though substantial, prevents assessment of long-term retention effects beyond the eight-week follow-up. Future longitudinal studies spanning full academic years would provide valuable insights into sustained impact.

Generalizability constraints to Vietnamese elementary contexts necessitate cross-cultural replication studies to establish broader applicability. Additionally, the focus on Natural and Social Sciences limits understanding of effectiveness across other subject domains.

Implementation fidelity variations across sites, despite monitoring protocols, may influence outcome consistency. Future research should employ more stringent fidelity controls and examine the relationship between implementation quality and learning outcomes in greater detail.

6. Conclusion

This investigation provides compelling empirical evidence supporting the effectiveness of AR-enhanced flipped classroom implementation in Vietnamese elementary Natural and Social Sciences education, with findings that significantly advance both theoretical understanding and practical applications in technology-enhanced learning.

Addressing the four research questions systematically, this study demonstrates: Randomized controlled trial results demonstrate statistically significant and practically meaningful improvements across multiple outcome domains, with effect sizes substantially exceeding typical educational intervention benchmarks.

Research Question 1 (Academic Achievement): Academic achievement gains (Cohen's d = 1.37) represent "very large" practical significance, with 23.6% improvement over traditional instruction methods. These results substantially exceed the d = 0.47 reported in Chen et al.'s (2018) flipped

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

classroom meta-analysis and the d = 0.68-0.72 range found in Wu et al.'s (2013) AR review, suggesting powerful synergistic effects when these approaches are systematically combined. Particularly noteworthy is the differential effectiveness pattern across cognitive complexity levels, with higher-order thinking skills (analyze, synthesize) showing greater improvement than basic recall, supporting constructivist learning theory predictions and demonstrating AR's capacity to facilitate deeper conceptual understanding.

Research Question 2 (Engagement and Motivation): Student engagement enhancement (40.1% improvement, d = 1.75) provides robust evidence of AR's motivational affordances, addressing persistent challenges in elementary science education. These gains substantially exceed the 15-20% improvements typically reported in educational technology interventions (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2017), demonstrating the superior motivational potential of theoretically-grounded, developmentally-appropriate AR implementation.

Research Question 3 (Abstract Concept Comprehension): Abstract concept comprehension improvements (34.9% gain) validate AR's particular utility for spatial-temporal visualization of phenomena impossible to observe directly, such as astronomical movements and physiological processes. This finding extends previous research by Dunleavy et al. (2009) and Radu (2014), who reported 18-25% gains in AR visualization studies, by demonstrating amplified effects through systematic integration with flipped classroom pedagogy.

Research Question 4 (Implementation Predictors): Moderator analysis reveals teacher technology competency as strongest implementation predictor ($\beta = 0.34$), emphasizing human capital primacy over purely technological factors. This finding strongly validates TPACK framework predictions (Koehler & Mishra, 2009) while providing the first comprehensive analysis of implementation factors specifically in AR-enhanced flipped classroom contexts for elementary education.

Theoretical Contributions: This research advances theoretical understanding by demonstrating successful integration of multiple pedagogical paradigms within elementary education contexts. Social Constructivist Learning Theory applications show AR's effectiveness as mediating tool facilitating knowledge construction through Zone of Proximal Development expansion. Multimedia Learning Theory validation confirms optimal cognitive load management through dual-channel processing and scaffolded interaction design.

TPACK framework extension for elementary contexts provides empirically-validated competency model guiding teacher preparation programs and professional development initiatives. Implementation Science contributions establish comprehensive enabling conditions framework applicable beyond Vietnamese contexts.

Research demonstrates synergistic effects of combining flipped classroom pedagogy with AR technology, producing outcomes exceeding simple additive effects of individual interventions. This finding contributes to understanding technological-pedagogical convergence in elementary education settings. Unlike previous studies that examined these approaches in isolation, our research provides the first comprehensive analysis of their combined implementation, revealing effect sizes (d = 1.37-1.75) that substantially exceed those reported for either intervention alone.

Practical Implications: The study establishes robust empirical foundation for scaling AR-enhanced flipped classroom approaches in elementary education, with practical significance extending to international contexts facing similar educational challenges. The comprehensive implementation framework addresses critical gaps identified in previous research, providing actionable guidance for educational leaders, policymakers, and practitioners.

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

Key practical findings include: (1) systematic professional development focusing on TPACK competencies is essential for successful implementation; (2) infrastructure requirements are achievable with strategic planning and phased implementation; (3) stakeholder engagement strategies significantly influence adoption success; and (4) culturally-responsive adaptation enhances effectiveness while maintaining core pedagogical principles.

Policy and Practice Recommendations: Based on comparison with international best practices and alignment with Implementation Science principles, this research recommends: systematic integration of AR-enhanced flipped classroom principles into national education strategies, evidence-based resource allocation prioritizing teacher capacity building (60%) over technology acquisition (40%), and establishment of quality assurance mechanisms ensuring consistent implementation across diverse institutional contexts.

Limitations and Future Directions: While this study provides more comprehensive evidence than previous research through its 16-week intervention period and mixed-methods design, temporal limitations prevent long-term retention assessment beyond eight-week follow-up. Cross-cultural replication studies are needed to establish broader generalizability, while longitudinal investigations should examine sustained effects over multiple academic years.

The study's focus on Natural and Social Sciences, though providing deep insights into science education, limits understanding of effectiveness across other subject domains. Future research should investigate cross-curricular applications and compare effectiveness across different content areas.

Concluding Implications: This investigation establishes robust empirical foundation for AR-enhanced flipped classroom adoption in elementary science education, providing evidence that substantially advances the field beyond previous single-intervention studies. Results demonstrate substantial educational benefits achievable through systematic implementation guided by evidence-based frameworks.

Strategic implications extend beyond technological integration to encompass fundamental pedagogical transformation emphasizing student-centered learning, collaborative knowledge construction, and authentic assessment practices. Success depends primarily on human capital development rather than technological sophistication, highlighting teacher professional development as critical investment priority.

This research contributes significantly to international discourse on technology-enhanced elementary education while providing contextually-relevant solutions for Vietnamese educational challenges and establishing a replicable framework for global application. Findings support optimistic outlook for AR technology's transformative potential when thoughtfully integrated with evidence-based pedagogical practices and comprehensive implementation support systems.

7. Suggestion

Based on the empirical findings and their alignment with international best practices, this section presents evidence-based recommendations for policy, institutional, and classroom-level implementation of AR-enhanced flipped classroom models.

7.1. Policy-Level Recommendations

National Education Policy: Given our findings of substantial academic achievement gains ($d = 1.37$) and the identification of teacher competency as the strongest implementation predictor ($\beta = 0.34$), integration of AR-enhanced flipped classroom principles into National Education Strategy 2021-2030 would position Vietnam as regional leader in educational innovation. Professional development standards requiring technology competency certification could ensure sustainable

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press

implementation capacity. This recommendation aligns with successful policy initiatives in Finland and Singapore, where systematic teacher technology competency requirements have led to measurable improvements in educational outcomes (Sahlberg, 2015; Toh et al., 2019).

Resource Allocation Framework: Based on our moderator analysis showing teacher technology competency ($\beta = 0.34$), AR content quality ($\beta = 0.28$), and infrastructure adequacy ($\beta = 0.19$) as primary effectiveness predictors, systematic investment in teacher capacity building (60% of technology budget), infrastructure development (30%), and content creation (10%) reflects evidence-based prioritization aligned with effectiveness predictors. This allocation model contrasts with typical technology initiatives that prioritize hardware acquisition, and aligns with OECD recommendations emphasizing human capital development (OECD, 2019).

Quality Assurance Mechanisms: Given the 67.8% variance in implementation success explained by our predictive model, establishment of national standards for AR educational content, safety protocols, and implementation fidelity would ensure consistent quality across diverse institutional contexts. This systematic approach mirrors successful implementations in South Korea and Estonia, where national digital education standards have facilitated scalable technology integration (Kim & Lee, 2020).

7.2. Institutional Implementation Guidelines

School-Level Strategy: Based on our four-phase implementation protocol's demonstrated effectiveness, Four-phase implementation protocol (Foundation Building → Content Development → Pilot Testing → Scale-Up) provides systematic approach balancing innovation ambition with practical feasibility. Our findings show 91% effect retention at eight-week follow-up, supporting gradual implementation over rapid deployment approaches that often fail to sustain impact (Fixsen et al., 2005). Leadership development programs should emphasize change management competencies and distributed leadership models.

Teacher Professional Development: Given teacher technology competency's role as strongest implementation predictor and its alignment with TPACK framework validation in our study, competency-based progression framework requires minimum 60-hour certification covering TPACK domains, with ongoing Professional Learning Community participation ensuring continuous skill refinement. This intensive approach exceeds typical professional development models but aligns with successful implementations reported by Harris et al. (2016) and Mishra and Koehler (2006), who found similar training intensities necessary for sustainable technology integration.

Infrastructure Investment: Based on our technical performance requirements and 95% uptime achievement, technical specifications (100 Mbps bandwidth, 1:2 student-device ratio, enterprise-grade networking) establish minimum viable product standards for sustainable implementation. These specifications reflect lessons learned from large-scale implementations in developed contexts while remaining achievable for developing educational systems (UNESCO, 2021).

7.3. Classroom Practice Optimization

Pedagogical Integration: Consistent with our finding that systematic theoretical integration produces superior outcomes ($d = 1.37$ vs. $d = 0.47$-0.72 in previous single-approach studies), backward design methodology ensures AR deployment supports specific learning objectives rather than technology showcase. Assessment system alignment incorporating technology as evaluation medium maintains instructional coherence. This finding-based recommendation addresses criticisms of technology integration lacking pedagogical purpose (Cuban, 2001; Clark, 1994).

Student Support Systems: Based on our qualitative findings showing 94% of students mentioning enhanced conceptual clarity and 89% reporting increased learning autonomy, progressive scaffolding protocols (Week 1: Basic navigation → Week 4: Independent implementation) accommodate diverse technological readiness levels. Digital citizenship education ensures responsible technology use habits. This gradual approach aligns with successful scaffolding models reported by Wood et al. (1976) and recent applications in digital learning contexts (Belland, 2017).

7.4. Research Limitations and Methodological Considerations

Future Research Priorities: While our 16-week intervention period exceeded typical educational technology studies and demonstrated sustained effects at eight-week follow-up, temporal limitations (16-week intervention) prevent long-term retention effect evaluation. Hawthorne effect possibilities due to technology novelty require longitudinal follow-up studies confirming sustained benefits. Cross-cultural replication studies are essential given our Vietnamese-specific context, particularly as cultural factors may influence technology acceptance and pedagogical effectiveness (Hofstede & Hofstede, 2005).

Generalizability considerations to Vietnamese elementary contexts necessitate cross-cultural replication studies. Implementation fidelity variations across sites, despite monitoring protocols, may influence outcome consistency. Future investigations should employ longer intervention periods (full academic year), multi-cohort designs, and delayed treatment control groups to strengthen causal inference, as recommended by educational research methodologists (Shadish et al., 2002). Technology access disparities among participants could create differential exposure effects impacting result interpretation.

Mixed-methods integration could provide deeper understanding of implementation mechanisms and contextual factors influencing effectiveness, building on our qualitative findings that revealed important stakeholder perspectives not captured in quantitative measures alone.

7.5. Future Research Directions

Cross-curricular applications: Given our subject-specific focus on Natural and Social Sciences and the 23.6% academic improvement demonstrated, investigating AR-enhanced flipped classroom effectiveness in Mathematics, Language Arts, and integrated STEAM contexts would expand evidence base. Our differential effectiveness across cognitive complexity levels (higher-order skills showing 35.2% vs. 12.8% for recall) suggests particular promise for subjects requiring spatial reasoning and abstract thinking. Long-term longitudinal studies tracking academic achievement, technology self-efficacy, and career interest development over multiple years would provide comprehensive impact assessment.

Comparative effectiveness research: Building on our synergistic findings ($d = 1.37$ vs. previous single-intervention studies showing $d = 0.47$-0.72), examining different AR technologies, implementation models, and pedagogical approaches would optimize intervention design. Cost-effectiveness analyses would inform sustainable scaling strategies and resource allocation decisions. This recommendation addresses gaps identified in recent systematic reviews calling for more rigorous comparative studies in educational technology (Sung et al., 2016).

Mechanism studies: Our theoretical validation of Social Constructivist Theory and Multimedia Learning Theory suggests need for investigating cognitive processing pathways, motivational dynamics, and social interaction patterns would deepen theoretical understanding. Cultural adaptation research examining implementation effectiveness across diverse socio-economic contexts would enhance equity considerations.

Teacher expertise development: Given teacher competency's critical role in our findings, longitudinal studies would inform professional growth models and competency progression frameworks. Technology acceptance models specific to elementary education contexts would guide adoption acceleration strategies.

7.6. Concluding Implications

This investigation establishes robust empirical foundation for AR-enhanced flipped classroom adoption in elementary science education, with evidence-based recommendations grounded in systematic analysis of implementation factors and stakeholder outcomes. Results demonstrate substantial educational benefits achievable through systematic implementation guided by evidence-based frameworks.

Strategic implications extend beyond technological integration to encompass fundamental pedagogical transformation emphasizing student-centered learning, collaborative knowledge construction, and authentic assessment practices. Our finding that success depends primarily on human capital development (β = 0.34 for teacher competency) rather than technological sophistication validates international research while highlighting teacher professional development as critical investment priority.

Policy recommendations emphasize systematic approach incorporating infrastructure development, professional capacity building, quality assurance mechanisms, and stakeholder engagement strategies. Implementation framework provides actionable guidance for educational leaders seeking to leverage emerging technologies for learning outcome enhancement, with demonstrated effectiveness that exceeds previous single-intervention approaches.

This research contributes to international discourse on technology-enhanced elementary education while providing contextually-relevant solutions for Vietnamese educational challenges. Findings support optimistic outlook for AR technology's transformative potential when thoughtfully integrated with evidence-based pedagogical practices and comprehensive implementation support systems, offering a replicable model for global application.

Declarations

Author Contributions. A.H.: conceptualisation, methodology, validation. A.G.: methodology, review editing, validation. KH: review-editing, investigation, final original manuscript preparation. C.N.L.: data collection, data analysis. S.S: visualisation, data analysis. LHA: project administration, supervision. All authors have read and approved the published on the final version of the article.
Conflicts of Interest. The author declares no conflict of interest.
Funding. No funding
Ethical Approval.
Data Availability Statement. The data used in this research is confidential and thus cannot be shared with third parties.

References

Akçayır, M., & Akçayır, G. (2017). Advantages and challenges associated with augmented reality for education: A systematic review of the literature. *Educational Research Review, 20*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2016.11.002

Azuma, R. T. (1997). A survey of augmented reality. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments, 6*(4), 355-385. https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.1997.6.4.355

Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. *Proceedings of the 120th ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, 30*(9), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585

Chen, K. S., Monrouxe, L., Lu, Y. H., Jenq, C. C., Chang, Y. J., Chang, Y. C., & Chai, P. Y. (2018). Academic outcomes of flipped classroom learning: A meta-analysis. *Medical Education, 52*(9), 910-924. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13616

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). L*awrence Erlbaum Associates*.

Garzón, J., & Acevedo, J. (2019). Meta-analysis of the impact of augmented reality on students' learning effectiveness. *Educational Research Review, 27*, 244-260. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.04.002

Huang, T. C., Chen, C. C., & Chou, Y. W. (2016). Animating eco-education: To see, feel, and discover in an augmented reality-based experiential learning environment. Com*puters & Education, 96*, 72-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.02.008

Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2009). What is technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK)? *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education, 9*(1), 60-70. https://doi.org/10.1177/002205741319300303

Mayer, R. E. (2021). Multimedia learning (3rd ed.). *Cambridge University Press*. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316941355

Merchant, Z., Goetz, E. T., Cifuentes, L., Keeney-Kennicutt, W., & Davis, T. J. (2014). Effectiveness of virtual reality-based instruction on students' learning outcomes in K-12 and higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education, 70*, 29-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2013.07.033

Ministry of Education and Training. (2018). Natural and social sciences curriculum for general education*. Vietnam Education Publishing House*.

Nguyen, V. C., Tran, T. K. L., & Le, M. N. (2024). Current status of modern teaching model application in Vietnamese primary schools. *Vietnamese Educational Science Journal, 20*(3), 58-65.

Santos, M. E. C., Chen, A., Taketomi, T., Yamamoto, G., Miyazaki, J., & Kato, H. (2014). Augmented reality learning experiences: Survey of prototype design and evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies, 7*(1), 38-56. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2013.37

Schwab, K. (2016). The Fourth Industrial Revolution. World Economic Forum.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. *Harvard University Press*.

Wu, H. K., Lee, S. W. Y., Chang, H. Y., & Liang, J. C. (2013). Current status, opportunities and challenges of augmented reality in education. *Computers & Education, 62*, 41-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.10.024

About the Contributor(s)

Pham Quang Tiep, University of Education, Vietnam National University Hanoi
Email: tiep@vnu.edu.vn
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2760-3885

Nguyen Thi Huong, Thang Long Institute for Applied Educational Sciences Research
Email: huongnt.sp2@gmail.com
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4987-7427

https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.18.445 Published online by Universitepark Press